

# A Literature Review on Ergonomically Study of VDT Workstation Operators

Y. B. Bhagat

Dr. S. G. Patil

**Abstract**—This literature review paper on ergonomically study about various work posture of visual display terminal operator like standing, sitting, combination of standing and sitting, effect of illumination, height of screen on workplace. These factors affects VDT operator on musculoskeletal disorder, neck, shoulder, eye strain and also on alertness, sleepiness, performance of VDT operator. This study was done on subjects both (male and female).

**Index Terms**— Ergonomically, Posture, Effect of illumination, Musculoskeletal, neck pain etc.

## I. INTRODUCTION

There is an increase in the use of computer technology in industry, office, bank, café hospital etc. This increases the productivity and efficiency but affects the VDT operator's body, face with many problems such as Musculoskeletal disorder, neck, shoulder pain [1,2,3,4].

Due to the improper design of the furniture, VDT operators have arm, hands, wrists pain [5].

Using high illumination system in workplaces increases productivity, efficiency of product but resulted in visual fatigue, eyestrain, headache, physiological stress [2, 6, 7, 8].

VDT operator's sitting and standing position affects the alertness and performance of work [9]. Now a days the VDT operators like male, female, data entry operator face mental stress [10, 11].

## II. ERGONOMICALLY FACTORS FOR VDT OPERATOR

### A. Posture

Hundreds of year sitting position affects health of VDT operators like musculoskeletal disorder, neck [5, 12].

Different types of postures like standing, sitting, design of chair, height also affects the VDT operator [13, 14].

### B. Effect of illumination

High illumination in VDT workplace creates eye strain, eye lids are heavy, eye feel dry, eye burning, headache, difficult in seeing [8].

Terminal background flicker, viewing angle, also affect the neck, eye strain headache of VDT operator [1, 7, 15].

### C. Material for Posture factors

Right handed ten subjects are taken (8 male and 2 female),

3 screen heights 80,100,120 cm and table height is 67cm, computer with 14-inch cathode ray tube monitor are taken. Video camera, video image analyzer, videotape recorder, electromyography (disposable 8 mm AgCl surface electrode), EMG machine [16].

24 subjects, 12 undergraduates (6 male and 6 female) age are 20-29 (Mean  $\pm$  SD; 21.2  $\pm$ 1.1 yr old) and 12 aged subjects (6 male and 6 female subject, Mean  $\pm$  SD; 62.7 $\pm$ 1.6 yr old) table height adjustable from 68.5 am to 105.5 cm, English transcription 150 min (40X3sessions), including 5 min for setup after each session[9].

Ten healthy students(5 female and 5 male) age from 18 to 22 years for two kind of workstation for each student, an NPC and DPC. An NPC with 10.4 inch color LCD, model PC-9801NC. An DPC with 14 inch color LCD, model PC-9801BX2, 700.0mm desk height, distance of keyboard and desk is 40 mm[13].

### D. Material for effect of illumination

Ten subjects (5 female and 5 male) age from 21 to 24 year, Optec 2000 vision Tester, Minolta CL-200 chroma meter CS-100AFlicker Fusion system Model 12021, Intel Pentium III desktop computer with 17 inch color screen, resolution was 1024X768 pixels at 70 Hz refresh rate , fluorescent lamp, subject seated 50 cm away from screen.[8]

All women of Medical Secretaries hospital of Stockholm age from 44 to 49, height 1.64 m, median weight 68 ,computer with CTX color screen (325X245),adjustable chair without armrests, skin markers, video camera JVC super-VHS[1].

## III. METHOD FOR POSTURE

The subject is engaged with computer game with constant visual monitoring for 20 min at each screen height. Body position's captured by video camera and posture was analysis by video image analyzer every minute of the experiment. Five frames are taken per minute. The neck angle by Reid's line referenced to the horizontal plane. Electromyography and EMG machine are used to record muscle activities per minute. The experiment is performed with three different height of screen of each subject. [16]

The subjects are using word processor and spreadsheet application on computer with keyboard with both hands in three conditions like sitting at workstation as a control group, sitting on chair with work surface elevated to standing position (high chair), combination of 10 min sitting and 5 min

standing. The subject do English transcription task for 150 min under one condition from 13:30 to 16:00 for each day .software for minimize the learning of English and ergonomic guideline for VDT work with OSHA and ANSI/HES.In this subject report musculoskeletal discomfort and sleepiness after each session by VAMS with 100 mm horizontal line and human body diagram on the display [9].

The subject is engaged with word processor for 30 minute using chair backrest. The work posture and performance of subject are recorded in video camera and analyzed by frame for 10 minute and EMG machine used to recorded neck, shoulder and back muscles activities for 10 minute. [13]

#### IV. METHOD FOR EFFECT OF ILLUMINATION

The subject has 0.9 corrected visual acuity and normal color vision .At the beginning of experiment subject eye adapted for 20 minutes and their visual acuity and CFF values are measured by Optec 2000 vision tester , subject is seated approximately 50 cm away from the screen and asking 8 questions to subject about eyes problem [8].

Each Subject was filmed in two sedentary work postures with different viewing angle at computer workstation. One of the work postures is  $-20^{\circ}$  (11) and other posture is  $+3^{\circ}$  (11) and subject performed same task they do every day. Skin markers are used to centre of gravity of the head and neck in front of the outer auditory meatus (16-18) and with the help of video camera filmed each work posture of subject for five minute. Video film is analysed by video recorder and TV screen. For five minute sequence stopped and analysis two points one directly at the beginning and second the just before the sequence ended [1].

#### V. RESULTS

##### A. Posture

Using statistical analysis method for data gathered and Friedman's one-way repeated measure analysis of variance on rank are used to determine the effect of screen height on posture and muscle activity and correlation study by Spearman's rank and Pearson's analysis method with statically test with ( $p < 0.05$ ).The neck angle trunk inclination affected by change in screen height. The neck is more erect in higher screen ( $p < 0.001$ ) and trunk also backward learning ( $p = 0.02$ ).Newmam-Keul's comparison studies showed that the neck was upright from the lowest to highest screen height. ( $p < 0.05$ ).The effect of screen height changes on posture was analyzed in (table 1).The neck muscle activities are significantly decreased in screen height .The decreasing the EMG activities of neck extensor muscle from posture reaction to increase in height[16].

**TABLE I**  
**SCREEN HEIGHT AND POSTURAL ANGLES**

Subject	Height and neck angle	Height and Thoracic bending	Height and trunk inclination
1	0.94***	0.88***	0.86***
2	0.94***	0.58***	0.75***
3	0.94***	0.56***	0.94***
4	0.94***	0.94***	0.94***
5	0.94***	0.35*	0.94***
6	0.94***	-0.10	0.48***
7	0.94***	0.47***	0.94***
8	0.94***	0.74***	0.64***
9	0.94***	0.56***	-0.32***
10	0.94***	-0.07***	0.76***

Spearman's correlation 2-tailed \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $p < 0.001$

Using statically two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and  $p < 0.05$  to indicate statically significance. High chair and sit and stand condition result in higher discomfort than standard condition. The subject sleepiness increased with time. Mean score indicate subject sleepiness ( $p < 0.01$ ) in standard condition is higher than high chair and sit-stand condition. Work performance is steady all condition [9].

Using statically analysis method with  $p < 0.05$  to be significant. Difference in work posture between NPC and DPC with viewing distance, viewing angle and head angle as in Table II.Wilcoxon's rank sum test analysis revealed significant difference in IEMG of the neck muscle while using NPC and DPC ( $p < 0.05$ )[13].

**TABLE II**  
**VIEWING DISTANCE, VIEWING ANGLE, HEAD ANGLE AND NECK ANGLE**

	NPC		DPC	
	Average	SD	Average	SD
Viewing distance(L:mm)	329.1	54.4	405.7	43.0**
Viewing angle( $\Theta$ V:deg)	-35.0	5.6	0.3	7.5**
Head angle( $\Theta$ H:deg)	-22.0	10.0	-5.6	15.7**
Head angle( $\Theta$ N:deg)	48.2	13.7	52.0	12.3NS

NS: Not significant \*\*: $p < 0.01$  (n=10)

##### B. Effect of Illumination

Using ANOVA analyses, the change in CFF values by

color of ambient illumination (red, blue, green and white). The ANOVA showed the effect of light color on visual acuity change. The effect of level of illumination was found the reaction time, and the 20 lux level of illumination has shorter reaction times than 340 lux. Both the color of light and level of illumination had no significant effect on the rate of errors. The subject has minimum visual fatigue with blue light and greatest with red color.

The load on neck and shoulder was lower for the 3° viewing angle than for the -20° viewing angle. [1]

## VI. CONCLUSION

After literature review on ergonomically study of VDT workstation operators different screen height of computer sitting, standing posture, effect of illumination affects the VDT operators in Musculoskeletal disorder, neck, shoulder hand, eyestrain, trunk pain. And also in performance, alertness and sleepiness of VDT operators

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I give special thank to Dr. S. G. Patil sir of Principal of IBSS college of engineering Amravati.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Helene Fries Svensson and Ola K.Svensson "The influence of the viewing angle on the neck –load During work with video display units" *J Rehab Med* 2001;33:133-136
- [2] Banibrata Das and Tirthankar Ghosh "Assessment of Ergonomical and occupational Health related problems among VDT workers of west Bengal, India" *Asian journal of medical sciences* 1(2010) 26-31.
- [3] Kaj Bo Veiersted and Morten waersted "Distal upper limb disorders and ergonomics of VDU work: a review of the epidemiological evidence" *Norsk Epidemiologi* 1999;9(1):13-20.
- [4] Valerie woods "Musculoskeletal disorders and visual strain in intensive data processing workers" *Occupational Medicine* 2005;55:121-127
- [5] M.Graf, U.Guggenbuhl H.Krueger "An assessment of seated activity and postures at five workplaces" *International Journal of Industrial ergonomics* 15(1995) 81-90
- [6] Jens Wahlstrom "Ergonomics, musculoskeletal disorders and computer work" *Occupational Medicine* 2005;55:168-176
- [7] Marvin J.Dainoff, Arne Aaras Gunnar Horgen, Maria Konarska "The Effect of an ergonomic intervention on Musculoskeletal, psychosocial and visual strain of VDT Entry work: organization and Methodology of the International study" *International journal of Occupational safety and ergonomics (JOSE)* 2005, Vol. 11, No. 1, 9-23
- [8] Chiuhsiang Joe Lin, Wen-yang Feng, Chin-jung Chao and Feng-Yi Tesng "Effect of VDT workstation lighting conditions on operator Visual workload" *Industrial Health* 2008, 46, 105-111
- [9] Takeshi ebara, Tomohide Kubo, Tatsuki inoue, Gen-I Murasaki, Hidemaro Takeyama, tomoaki sato, hatsuko Suzumura, sayuri Niwa, Toshimasa Takaniishio, Norihide Tachi and Toru itani "Effect of Adjustable Sit-stand VDT workstations on workers Musculoskeletal Discomfort, Alertness and Performance" *Industrial Health* 2008, 46, 497-505
- [10] Sallie J. Weaver, Neil Charness, Katinka Dijkstra, Tiffany Jastrzembki "Musculoskeletal Pain prevalence in randomly sampled university employees: Age and gender effects" *Original sept* 2008, vol 7, no. 3
- [11] Adel Mazloun, Masaharu Kumashiro, Hiroyuki Izumi and Yoshiyuki Higuchi "Quantitative overload: a source of stress in Data-Entry VDT work Induced by Time pressure and work Difficulty" *Industrial Health* 2008, 46, 269-280
- [12] Ahmad Bayumi, W.M. Shewakh and Asif Haleem "Design optimal VDU parameters for readability task to alleviate posture discomfort and mental stressors" *International journal of industrial engineering and technology* ISSN 2277-4769 vol 3 Issue 2, Jun 2013, 53-66
- [13] Shin Saito, Masaru Miyao, Takaai Konda, Hisataka Sakakibara and Hideaki Toyoshima "Ergonomic Evaluation of working posture of VDT operation using personal computer with Flat panel Display" *Industrial Health* 1997, 35, 264-270.
- [14] Benjamin C. Amick III, Cammie Chaumont Menendez, Lianna Bazzani, Michelle Robertson, Kelly Derango, Ted Rooney, Anne Moore "A field intervention examining the impact of an office ergonomics training and a highly adjustable chair on visual symptoms in a public sector organization" *Applied Ergonomics* 43 (2012) 625-631.
- [15] Thierry Baccino, Wolfgang Jaschinski, Joelle Bussolon "The influence of bright background flicker during different saccade periods on saccadic performance" *Vision Research* 41(2001)3909-3916
- [16] Maria Beatriz G. Villanueva, Hiroshi Jonai, Midori Sotoyama, Naomi Hisanaga, Yasuhiro Takeuchi and Susumu Saito "Sitting Posture and neck and shoulder Muscle activities at different screen height setting of the visual display terminal" *Industrial Health* 1997, 35, 330-336.
- [17] Paolo Pillastrini, Raffaele Mugnai, Chiara Farneti, Lucia Bertozzi, Roberta Bonfiglioli, Stefania Curti, Stefano Mattioli, Francesco Saverio Violante "Evaluation of two preventive interventions for reducing musculoskeletal complaints in operators of video display terminals" *physical Therapy* vol 87 no. 5
- [18] Donald C Cole, Richard P wells, Mardy B Frazer, Mickey S Kerr, W Patrick Neumann, Andrew C Laing "Methodological issue in evaluating workplace interventions to reduce work-related musculoskeletal disorders through mechanical exposure reduction" *Scand J work Environ Health*
- [19] Anne Fenety and Joan M Walker "Short –term effects of workstation exercises on Musculoskeletal discomfort and postural change in seated video display unit workers" *Physical Therapy* 2002; 82: 578-589
- [20] Bengt G knave MD, Roger I Wibom, Margaretha Voss, Lars D Hedstrom, Msc, Ulf OV Bergqvist, Msc Tech "Work with video display terminal among office employees" *Scand J Work Environ Health* 11 (1985) 457-466
- [21] Karlqvist LK, Bermark E, Ekenvall L, Hagberg M, Isaksson A, Rostö T "Computer mouse position as a determinant of posture, muscular load and perceived exertion" *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1998; 24(1): 62-73.